

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,036	09/22/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14967US02	7866	
. 23446 MC	7590 01/03/2008 SHEID & MALLOY L	EXAMINER			
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			HAMILTON, LALITA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/667,036	KARAOGUZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lalita M. Hamilton	3691		

	Lanta W. Hammon		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>December 4, 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ig date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing day.	of the fee. The appropri pinally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection, o	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	acance .
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		on phant / anortament	(
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered to the showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:	(em)		
	LALITA M. HAMILTON PRIMARY EXAMINER		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that McEvilly does not disclose a user interface, at the first home, having at least one user defined media channel, the at least one user defined media channel comprising sequence of user selected and scheduled media, the user interface supporting selection and scheduling of the media. In response, McEvilly discloses that the menu design, selections, and content displayed to a user based on user profile data and usage information maintained by the system in one or more databases. The stored user profile data and usage information may be used by the system to create a personalized menu including design elements, services, and content based on the profile data and usage information of the user which the menu will be presented (p.3, 50).

The Applicant argues that McEvilly does not disclose the creation by a first user of one or more media channels for distribution to an authorized second user at a second location remote from the first location. In response, McEvilly discloses that in one embodiment, the user gained access to services and media content using a user set top box and a television. However, the machine may operate as a peer-to-peer network, which includes other locations different from that of the first user (p.27, 328). In addition, the machine may be a PC, set top box, PVR, PDA, etc.

The Applicant argues that McEvilly does not disclose a "server software that receives from the first home at the associated first protocol address, via a communication network, a request for the delivery of the media... and that responds by coordinating delivery of the media from one of the first storage and the at least one server to the (second television in the second home). In response, McEvilly discloses In response, McEvilly discloses that in one embodiment, the user gained access to services and media content using a user set top box and a television. However, the machine may operate as a peer-to-peer network, which includes other locations different from that of the first user (p.27, 328). In addition, the machine may be a PC, set top box, PVR, PDA, etc.

The Applicant argues that McEvilly does not disclose "wherein each of the one or more media channels comprises a sequence of media content selected by the first user, which is made available for consumption by the second user of the media exchange network at time scheduled by the first user"; "a user interface having at least one user defined media channel comprising a sequence of user selected and scheduled media, the user interface supporting selection and scheduling of the media"; or "server software that receives via a communication network a request for the delivery of the media, the request comprising information securing payment for delivery, and that responds by coordinating the delivery of the media from a storage at a second location to a television display at a third location for consumption". In response, McEvilly discloses that the menu design, selections, and content displayed to a user based on user profile data and usage information maintained by the system in one or more databases. The stored user profile data and usage information may be used by the system to create a personalized menu including design elements, services, and content based on the profile data and usage information of the user which the menu will be presented (p.3, 50). The billing server (29) is responsible for tracking payment of bills and for blocking the provision of services or content to users if they do not make payment (p.12, 158).

LALITA M. HAMILTON